

# Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) Surgery – 2002 Data

---

## ***Technical Notes***



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Outcome Measures Reported .....	1
Study Population .....	3
In-hospital Mortality, 30-day Post -surgical Mortality, and 7-day and 30-day Readmissions:	
<i>Risk Adjustment Methodology</i> .....	4
<i>Calculation of Outcome Measures</i> .....	11
Post-surgical Length of Stay:	
<i>Risk Adjustment Methodology</i> .....	13
<i>Calculation of Outcome Measures</i> .....	17
Hospital Charge Analysis .....	19

## Attachments

Attachment A – Cases Included / Excluded .....	23
Attachment B – Readmission Categories .....	29
Attachment C – Candidate Variables – Frequency Tables .....	35
<i>ICD-9-CM Codes used to Identify Mortality and Readmission Variables</i> .....	37
<i>In-hospital Mortality and 30-day Post-surgical Mortality</i> .....	38
<i>7-day and 30-day Readmissions</i> .....	40
<i>Post-surgical Length of Stay</i> .....	42
Attachment D – Atlas Outcomes® Approach for Risk Adjustment.....	45



## Outcome Measures Reported

In-hospital Mortality.....	In-hospital mortality measures the deaths that occurred during the hospital admission in which the CABG surgery was performed. Hospitals provide information to PHC4 indicating whether the patient died during the hospital stay.
30-day Post-surgical Mortality .....	30-day post-surgical mortality measures the deaths that occurred within 30 days of the date of the CABG surgery. Unlike in-hospital mortality, it includes deaths regardless of “where” the patient died, i.e., it includes patients who died after being discharged from the hospital. Death certificate information was obtained from the PA Department of Health to determine whether a CABG patient died within 30 days of the CABG surgery. Upon the recommendation of the Council’s Technical Advisory Group, “cause of death” was not considered in this analysis.
7-day Readmissions .....	Some patients are discharged from the hospital following CABG surgery and are then readmitted at a later date. This measure represents the percent of patients who were readmitted to a general acute care hospital (in Pennsylvania) within 1-7 days of being discharged from the hospital in which the CABG surgery was performed. Readmissions were counted only if the patient was readmitted for particular reasons (as indicated by the principal diagnosis of the patient during the readmission; examples include infections, other heart-related conditions, complications from the surgery, etc.). A list of the principal diagnoses used in the readmission analysis is included in Attachment B.
30-day Readmissions .....	Similar to 7-day readmissions, this measure represents the percent of patients who were readmitted to a general acute care hospital within 1-30 days of being discharged from the hospital in which the CABG surgery was performed. It was calculated using the same principal diagnoses that were used for 7-day readmissions.
Post-surgical Length of Stay.....	Post-surgical length of stay measures how long, on average, patients stayed in the hospital following CABG surgery.
Hospital average charge.....	The hospital charges reported are charges associated with the entire hospitalization during which the CABG surgery was performed (not just the treatment associated with CABG surgery) and do not include professional fees (e.g., physician fees). While charges are a standard way of reporting data, they do not reflect the actual cost of treatment, nor do they reflect the payment that the hospital may have actually received.

With the exception of hospital average charge (which is trimmed for outliers and case-mix adjusted), each of the above measures is risk adjusted, which means that the measures take into account the patient's health condition before surgery. Some patients who undergo CABG surgery are more seriously ill than others. In order to report fair comparisons among hospitals and surgeons, PHC4 developed a complex mathematical formula to "risk-adjust" the data, meaning that hospitals and surgeons receive "extra credit" for operating on patients that are more seriously ill or at a greater risk than others. Risk-adjusting the data is important because sicker patients might be more likely to die following CABG surgery, be readmitted, or stay in the hospital longer. Through logistic or linear regression modeling, risk factors (e.g., the age of the patient and other measures that indicate the illness level of the patient) were "tested" to determine which factors predict these particular outcomes (i.e., in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, and 7-day and 30-day readmissions). For example, this process answers questions, such as, "Is the age of the patient important in predicting whether he/she will be readmitted to the hospital." One important factor is the patient's "probability of death," as calculated using MediQual<sup>®</sup> Atlas Outcomes<sup>™</sup>. This information indicates how severely ill the patient was on admission to the hospital. The "probability of death" for a patient is generated from clinical information, including lab values, in the medical record.

The following pages describe the process used in risk-adjusting each of these outcome measures.

### Study Population

The CABG study population includes those patients discharged from Pennsylvania hospitals in calendar year 2002 after undergoing coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery (as identified by one of the following ICD.9.CM procedure codes in the medical record):

Bypass, aortocoronary, for heart revascularization, unspecified .....	36.10
Bypass, aortocoronary, one coronary artery .....	36.11
Bypass, aortocoronary, two coronary arteries .....	36.12
Bypass, aortocoronary, three coronary arteries.....	36.13
Bypass, aortocoronary, four or more coronary arteries .....	36.14
Bypass, artery, single internal mammary, coronary.....	36.15
Bypass, artery, double internal mammary, coronary .....	36.16
Bypass, abdominal-coronary artery .....	36.17
Revascularization, with bypass anastomosis, other specified .....	36.19

### Exclusions

Cases with the following procedure codes were not verified by hospitals and were excluded from the study population:

Procedures	ICD.9.CM Procedure Codes
Valve surgery	35.10-35.14, 35.20-35.28 or 35.99
Heart transplant	37.5
Lung transplant	33.50, 33.51, 33.52
Combined heart and lung transplant	33.6
Kidney transplant	55.61, 55.69
Liver transplant	50.51, 50.59

Additional cases were excluded from analysis as discussed in Attachment A.

## In-hospital Mortality, 30-day Post-surgical Mortality, and 7-day & 30-day Readmissions

### *Risk-Adjustment Methodology*

#### Data Preparation

After cases to be excluded from analysis were removed, the remaining cases were randomly split into two equal-size samples. Sample I is the development sample; Sample II is the cross validation sample. The number of relevant cases for each sample is shown below.

#### In-hospital mortality

	<u>Sample I</u>	<u>Sample II</u>	<u>Total</u>
Number of Cases	8,218	8,217	16,435
Number of In-hospital Deaths	169	158	327
Mortality Rate	2.1%	1.9%	2.0%

#### 30-day post-surgical mortality

	<u>Sample I</u>	<u>Sample II</u>	<u>Total</u>
Number of Cases	7,415	7,415	14,830
Number of deaths within 30 days	185	163	348
Mortality Rate	2.5%	2.2%	2.3%

#### 7-day readmissions

	<u>Sample I</u>	<u>Sample II</u>	<u>Total</u>
Number of Cases	7,270	7,269	14,539
Number of Readmissions within 7 days	395	411	806
Readmission Rate	5.4%	5.7%	5.5%

#### 30-day readmissions

	<u>Sample I</u>	<u>Sample II</u>	<u>Total</u>
Number of Cases	7,270	7,269	14,539
Number of Readmissions within 30 days	938	965	1,903
Readmission Rate	12.9%	13.3%	13.1%



## Building the Risk Adjustment Models

The first step in building the risk adjustment models for in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day and 30-day readmissions was to identify *possible* risk-adjustment factors, that is, those factors that potentially contribute to these events. In doing so, both clinical and demographic factors identified in the literature were considered. Also considered were those factors tested in previous cardiac-related reports released by the Council – taking into account the availability and usability of the variables in its database. These possible risk-adjustment factors are called *candidate variables*. Attachment C provides data for each candidate variable.

## Model Selection

Model selection identifies those candidate variables that are *statistically significant predictors* of the relevant event (in this case in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day and 30-day readmissions). These significant risk factors were identified using binary logistic regression. In general, the modeling step is comprised of several sub-processes including model selection, cross validation, and calculating model adequacy measures. For the first step – model selection – a backwards stepwise logistic regression model was constructed using the cases in Sample I. All tests of significance ( $p < 0.10$ ) were based on the likelihood ratio.

### Variables Evaluated as Potential Predictors for the Mortality and Readmission Outcome Measures

Candidate Variables	Mortality		Readmissions	
	In-hospital	30-day Post-surgical	7-day	30-day
Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Age	ns	ns	ns	✓
Age Squared	✓	✓	✓	✓
CABG Severity <sup>1</sup>	✓	✓	ns	✓
Cancer	ns	✓	ns	ns
Cardiogenic Shock	✓	✓	ns	ns
Cardiomyopathy	ns	ns	ns	ns
Complicated Hypertension	ns	ns	ns	ns
COPD	ns	✓	ns	✓
Diabetes <sup>2</sup>	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dialysis	✓	✓	ns	ns
Gender	ns	ns	✓	✓
Heart Failure	✓	✓	✓	✓
Obesity <sup>2</sup>	✓	ns	ns	✓
Peripheral Vascular Disease	ns	ns	ns	✓
Prior CABG and/or Valve Surgery	ns	ns	ns	ns
PTCA/Stent (same day as CABG)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Race/Ethnicity	ns	ns	✓	ns
Renal Failure	ns	ns	ns	ns
Predicted Length of Stay <sup>1</sup>	not tested	not tested	ns	ns

✓ = significant predictor

ns = not significant

<sup>1</sup> = Both CABG Severity and Predicted Length of Stay are calculated using MediQual® Atlas Outcomes™ taking into account the patient's risk upon admission based on clinical data found in the medical record. See Attachment D for more information.

<sup>2</sup> = Although 'Diabetes' and 'Obesity' were significant predictors, these two variables were not included in the final in-hospital mortality model or the final 30-day mortality model due to their negative predictive values.

For this report, the candidate variable reflects the patient's condition during the hospital admission in which the CABG surgery was performed. For example, this table shows that having pre-operative cardiogenic shock during the hospital admission in which the CABG surgery was performed was a significant predictor of whether the patient died in the hospital or within 30 days, but it was not a significant predictor of whether the patient was readmitted within 7 or 30 days.

## Cross Validation

Following model selection for in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day and 30-day readmissions, the models were *cross validated* using the cases in Sample II. The first step in the cross validation process was to re-estimate the model built in the model selection process, using only the variables that were significant in Sample I, to determine which factors remain significant in Sample II.

The probability values (p-values) of those variables shown to be significant predictors of each of the four outcome measures are shown in the following table.

This table shows the variables that did not cross validate (identified as those with a p-value > 0.10 for sample II). The age-squared variable did not cross validate for in-hospital mortality. Variables that did not cross validate for 30-day post-surgical mortality were age squared and cancer. Variables that did not cross validate for 7-day readmissions include age squared and race/ethnicity. Variables that did not cross validate for 30-day readmissions include age, age squared, obesity and peripheral vascular disease. Variables that did not cross validate in Sample II were still used as risk adjustment factors for the full dataset.

**Probability Values for Each Significant Variable**

Significant Predictors	Mortality				Readmissions			
	In-hospital		30-day		7-day		30-day	
	Sample		Sample		Sample		Sample	
	I	II	I	II	I	II	I	II
Age.....	ns	–	ns	–	ns	–	0.014	0.142
Age Squared .....	0.080	0.411	0.005	0.163	0.026	0.864	0.015	0.122
CABG Severity .....	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	ns	–	<0.001	0.021
Cancer.....	ns	–	0.063	0.355	ns	–	ns	–
Cardiogenic Shock .....	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	ns	–	ns	–
COPD.....	ns	–	0.009	0.047	ns	–	0.019	0.002
Diabetes .....	not tested	–	not tested	–	0.059	0.022	0.001	<0.001
Dialysis.....	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	ns	–	ns	–
Gender .....	ns	–	ns	–	0.029	0.014	0.001	0.002
Heart Failure .....	<0.001	0.003	0.072	0.035	0.017	0.001	<0.001	0.002
Obesity .....	not tested	–	ns	–	ns	–	0.003	0.456
Peripheral Vascular Disease ...	ns	–	ns	–	ns	–	0.051	0.218
Race/Ethnicity .....	ns	–	ns	–	0.077	0.464	ns	–

**Note:** A p-value of < 0.10 was used to determine the significant risk factors for this report.

## Measures of Model Adequacy

For the second step in the cross validation process, the estimated coefficients from Sample I were applied to both Sample I and Sample II. The objective was to evaluate the model performance in both Sample I and Sample II. The value of ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) Area was considered in evaluating the model performance:

**ROC Area:** Using in-hospital mortality as an example, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve measures the tendency of the estimated probabilities of death for patients in the sample that died to be ranked higher than those for patients who were discharged alive. *Range: 50% to 100%*

The values for ROC area are displayed in the table below for both Sample I and Sample II. The table also includes the results from fitting the models using all of the data.

<i>Measure</i>	Model Selection ( <i>Sample I</i> )	Cross Validation ( <i>Sample II</i> )	<i>All Cases</i>
In-hospital mortality	81.4	80.3	81.1
30-day post-surgical mortality	76.0	78.0	77.0
7-day readmissions	58.6	59.9	59.2
30-day readmissions	62.5	60.5	61.6

## Coefficients & Odds Ratios

The coefficients associated with the significant risk factors and their p-values are listed on the following tables. The entire data set was used in creating the final coefficients (i.e., Sample I and Sample II were “recombined” and the coefficients were re-estimated). Accompanying these coefficients is the odds ratio for each risk factor or risk factor category. For a binary variable, this ratio is the change in the odds for a patient with the risk factor category compared to a patient without it. For example, for the outcome measure in-hospital mortality, it is the probability of dying in the hospital versus the probability of surviving the hospital stay. Odds ratios are not applicable for continuous variables (age, age-squared and CABG severity).

## Coefficients and Odds Ratios for Significant Predictors

### In-hospital Mortality

Significant Predictors	Coefficient	p-value	Odds Ratio
Constant	-1.8704		
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.0301	0.629	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Age Squared (divided by 1,000)	0.3890	0.402	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
CABG Severity	0.6087	<0.001	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Cardiogenic Shock	2.5076	<0.001	12.276
Dialysis	2.0391	<0.001	7.683
Heart Failure	0.6235	<0.001	1.865

<sup>1</sup> These factors were tested as continuous variables.

<sup>2</sup> Although age was not a significant predictor, it provided precise value to the age squared variable.

### 30-day Post-surgical Mortality

Significant Predictors	Coefficient	p-value	Odds Ratio
Constant	-0.6308		
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.0750	0.196	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Age Squared (divided by 1,000)	0.7406	0.089	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
CABG Severity	0.5066	<0.001	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Cancer	0.5807	0.044	1.787
Cardiogenic Shock	2.6126	<0.001	13.634
COPD	0.4279	0.001	1.534
Dialysis	1.6633	<0.001	5.277
Heart Failure	0.3610	0.005	1.435

<sup>1</sup> These factors were tested as continuous variables.

<sup>2</sup> Although age was not a significant predictor, it provided precise value to the age squared variable.

## Coefficients and Odds Ratios for Significant Predictors

### 7-day Readmissions

Significant Predictors	Coefficient	p-value	Odds Ratio
Constant	-3.3733		
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.00548	0.878	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Age Squared (divided by 1,000)	0.1373	0.617	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Diabetes <sup>3</sup>		0.001	
<i>without complication</i>	0.2299		1.258
<i>with complication</i>	0.4009		1.493
Gender	0.2544	0.001	1.290
Heart Failure	0.3617	<0.001	1.436
Race/Ethnicity <sup>3</sup>		0.069	
<i>Hispanic</i>	0.1039		1.109
<i>Black and non-Hispanic</i>	0.4446		1.560
<i>Other/Unknown</i>	-0.0898		0.914

<sup>1</sup> These factors were tested as continuous variables.

<sup>2</sup> Although age was not a significant predictor, it provided precise value to the age squared variable.

<sup>3</sup> "No diabetes" was used as the reference to the other categories of the diabetes variable and "white and non-Hispanic" was used as the reference to the other categories of the race/ethnicity variable; therefore, coefficients are not applicable.

### 30-day Readmissions

Significant Predictors	Coefficient	p-value	Odds Ratio
Constant	0.5104		
Age <sup>2</sup>	-0.0641	0.006	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
Age Squared (divided by 1,000)	0.5054	0.006	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
CABG Severity	0.1894	<0.001	Not applicable <sup>1</sup>
COPD	0.2494	<0.001	1.283
Diabetes <sup>3</sup>		<0.001	
<i>without complication</i>	0.2755		1.317
<i>with complication</i>	0.4133		1.512
Gender	0.2497	<0.001	1.284
Heart Failure	0.3107	<0.001	1.364
Obesity <sup>3</sup>		0.004	
<i>Unspecified obesity</i>	-0.1653		0.848
<i>Morbid obesity</i>	0.3358		1.399
Peripheral Vascular Disease	0.1939	0.026	1.214

<sup>1</sup> These factors were tested as continuous variables.

<sup>2</sup> Although age was not a significant predictor, it provided precise value to the age squared variable.

<sup>3</sup> "No diabetes" was used as the reference to the other categories of the diabetes variable and "no obesity" was used as the reference to the other categories of the obesity variable. Therefore, coefficients are not applicable.

## Calculation of Outcome Measures

Once the significant risk factors are determined for each outcome measure (*in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day and 30-day readmissions*), the statistical ratings are calculated. In doing so, actual rates are compared to expected rates to determine whether the difference is statistically significant.

### Determining Actual (observed) Rates

In-hospital mortality .....	This rate is determined by dividing the total number of deaths that occurred in the hospital by the total number of cases.
30-day post-surgical mortality .....	This rate is determined by dividing the total number of deaths within 30 days of the CABG surgery date by the total number of cases.
7-day and 30-day readmissions.....	These rates are determined by dividing the total number of cases who were readmitted to a general acute care hospital (for particular principal diagnoses) within 7 or 30 days of discharge from the original hospital by the total number of cases.

### Determining Expected Rates

The first step in calculating the expected rates is to estimate the probability of each of the relevant events occurring for each patient; that is: 1) the probability of in-hospital death, 2) the probability of death within 30 days, 3) the probability of being readmitted within 7 days, and 4) the probability of being readmitted within 30 days. The probability of each of these events occurring was estimated by using the statistical technique of logistic regression. In logistic regression, each category for each statistically significant clinical or demographic factor is assigned a coefficient or "weight." A factor category's weight is higher (or lower) if patients with that factor category tend to have a higher (or lower) chance of the event occurring. These weights, determined using the statewide CABG data set, were used to estimate each individual patient's probability of in-hospital death, death within 30 days, or 7-day or 30-day readmission given the risk factors of the patient.

In general the equation to calculate a patient's probability of in-hospital death is:

$$(\text{constant}) + (\text{age coefficient})(\text{age}) + (\text{age}^2 \text{ coefficient})(\text{age}^2) + (\text{risk factor category coefficients relevant to each patient})$$

In general the equation to calculate a patient's probability of death within 30-days is:

$$(\text{constant}) + (\text{age coefficient})(\text{age}) + (\text{age}^2 \text{ coefficient})(\text{age}^2) + (\text{risk factor category coefficients relevant to each patient})$$

In general the equation to calculate a patient's probability of readmission within 7 days is:

$$(\text{constant}) + (\text{age coefficient})(\text{age}) + (\text{age}^2 \text{ coefficient})(\text{age}^2) + (\text{risk factor category coefficients relevant to each patient})$$

In general the equation to calculate a patient's probability of readmission within 30 days is:

(constant) + (age coefficient)(age) + (age<sup>2</sup> coefficient)(age<sup>2</sup>) + (risk factor category coefficients relevant to each patient)

*Note: Coefficients are found in the tables on the previous pages.*

The results for all patients are then summed to determine the expected number of in-hospital deaths, deaths within 30-days, and readmissions within 7-days or 30-days. This expected rate is determined by dividing the total number of expected events by the total number of cases for each measure.

The following example illustrates the calculations used in determining the statistical ratings. In-hospital mortality is used as an example. The same calculations apply to 30-day post-surgical mortality and 7 and 30-day readmissions.

### Example – Calculations used in in-hospital mortality analysis

<b>Total Cases:</b>	Number of hospitalizations after exclusions.
<b>Actual Deaths:</b>	Total number of deaths (death is a discharge status equal to 20)
<b>Percentage:</b>	Total number of deaths / Total number of cases treated
<b>Expected Deaths:</b>	Sum of each patient's probability of death (PD)
<b>Percentage:</b>	Total number of expected deaths / Total number of cases treated
	To calculate a patient's probability of death:
	Step 1: Calculate BX:
	$BX = -1.8704 (\text{constant}) + (-0.0301)(\text{patient's age}) + (0.3890)(\text{patient's age})^2 +$ (risk factor coefficients relevant to each patient)
	Step 2: Calculate the estimated probability of death (PD) using BX:
	$PD = e^{BX} / (1 + e^{BX})$ where $e \approx 2.7182818285$
<b>Test Statistic:</b>	(Actual Deaths – Expected Deaths) / Standard Deviation of Mortality
	To compute Standard Deviation of Mortality:
	Step 1: Compute the estimated variance of each patient's probability of death:
	$\text{VARPAT} = (PD) (1-PD)$
	Step 2: Calculate the Standard Deviation of Mortality
	$\text{SUMVAR} = \text{sum of VARPAT across all cases}$
	Standard Deviation of Mortality = square root of SUMVAR
<b>p-value (two sided):</b>	Calculated using test statistic as a normal z-score
<b>Statistical Rating:</b>	If p-value<0.05 and test statistic > 0, then more deaths than expected (denoted as "●") If p-value<0.05 and test statistic < 0, then fewer deaths than expected (denoted as "○") Otherwise, the number of deaths were within the expected range (denoted as "◎")
<b>Expected Range:</b>	Lower limit = Expected Deaths – 1.960 (Standard Deviation of Mortality) Upper limit = Expected Deaths + 1.960 (Standard Deviation of Mortality)



## Post-surgical Length of Stay

### *Risk-Adjustment Methodology*

#### Risk Adjustment Model

While *logistic* regression was used to construct the models for in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day and 30-day readmission, a general *linear* modeling approach was used for post-surgical length of stay because it is a continuous variable. The model building steps were similar to those in the logistic regression models.

#### Data Preparation

The first task in constructing the post-surgical length of stay model involved randomly splitting the data set into two, equal-size samples (after cases to be excluded were removed). One set was used as the development sample (Sample I), and the other set was used as the cross-validation sample (Sample II).

**Case counts and average length of stay in days**

	<u>Sample I</u>	<u>Sample II</u>	<u>Total</u>
Number of Cases	7,960	7,960	15,920
Average Length of Stay ( <i>arithmetic</i> )	6.5	6.5	6.5
Average Length of Stay ( <i>geometric</i> )	5.9	5.8	5.8

#### Model Selection

The model was constructed using Sample I, after a natural log transformation was done to adjust for skewness in the distribution. All tests of significance were based on general linear model F-tests. A  $p < 0.10$  model was built for more liberal identification of risk factors.

## Variables Evaluated as Potential Predictors of Post-surgical Length of Stay

Candidate Variables	Length of Stay
Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)	ns
Age	ns
Age Squared	ns
CABG Severity <sup>1</sup>	✓
Cancer	ns
Cardiogenic Shock	✓
Cardiomyopathy	ns
Complicated Hypertension	✓
COPD	✓
Diabetes	✓
Dialysis	ns
Gender	✓
Heart Failure	✓
Obesity	ns
Peripheral Vascular Disease	ns
Predicted Length of Stay <sup>1</sup>	✓
Prior CABG and/or Valve Surgery	ns
PTCA/Stent (same day as CABG)	ns
Race/Ethnicity	✓
Renal Failure	ns

✓ = Significant predictor

ns = not significant

<sup>1</sup> = Both CABG Severity and Predicted Length of Stay are calculated using MediQual® Atlas Outcomes™ taking into account the patient's risk upon admission based on clinical data found in the medical record. See Attachment D for more information.

## Cross Validation – Length of Stay

The steps in the model cross validation were similar to those used for in-hospital mortality, 30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day and 30-day readmission. The first step in the cross validation was to re-estimate the model, using only the variables that were significant in Sample I, to determine which factors remain significant in Sample II.

### Probability Values for Each Significant Variable

Significant Predictors	Length of Stay	
	<i>Sample</i>	
	I	II
CABG Severity.....	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Cardiogenic Shock.....	0.0006	< 0.0001
Complicated Hypertension .....	0.0010	< 0.0001
COPD .....	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Diabetes .....	0.0117	< 0.0001
Gender .....	0.0004	0.5969
Heart Failure .....	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Predicted Length of Stay	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Race/Ethnicity.....	< 0.0001	< 0.0001

**Note:** A p-value of 0.10 was used to determine the significant risk factors for this report.

## Measure of Model Adequacy

For the second step in the cross validation process, the estimated coefficients from Sample I were applied to both Sample I and Sample II. The objective was to evaluate the model's performance in both Sample I and Sample II. R-squared was the measure considered in evaluating the model's performance.

**R-squared:** Coefficient of Determination ( $R^2$ ) refers to the percentage of the total variability among the patients in the sample that can be explained by the estimated model involving the specified risk factors.

### R-squared Values by Sample

Development	Cross Validation	All Cases
17.4%	18.8%	18.2%

## Coefficients

Each category for each statistically significant clinical or demographic factor is assigned a weight or coefficient. These coefficients are used to compute each individual patient's expected post-surgical length of stay given the risk factors of the patient.

### Coefficients (or “weights”) for Post-surgical Length of Stay Model

Significant Predictors	Coefficient	p-value
Constant	2.867246034	
CABG Severity	0.120812899	< 0.0001
Cardiogenic Shock	0.277528171	< 0.0001
Complicated Hypertension	0.101771939	< 0.0001
COPD	0.084020074	< 0.0001
Diabetes		< 0.0001
<i>none</i>	- 0.061732234	
<i>without complication</i>	- 0.070923358	
<i>with complication</i>	0.000000000	
Gender	0.020721084	0.0038
Heart Failure	0.161478830	< 0.0001
Predicted Length of Stay	0.028311079	< 0.0001
Race/Ethnicity		< 0.0001
<i>Hispanic</i>	-0.016585428	
<i>white/non-Hispanic</i>	-0.104817845	
<i>black/non-Hispanic</i>	0.000655949	
<i>other/unknown</i>	0.000000000	

## Calculation of Outcome Measures

Once the significant risk factors are determined, the average expected post-surgical length of stay is calculated. The calculation of the expected length of stay is discussed below (following the discussion on the actual length of stay).

### Actual Length of Stay

The actual post-surgical length of stay can be derived by subtracting the CABG procedure date from the discharge date. The average post-surgical length of stay is reported as a *geometric* mean not an arithmetic mean.

Because a natural log transformation of each length of stay value was done to adjust for skewness in the distribution, it was necessary to convert the logarithm values back to days when reporting or displaying post-surgical length of stay. This process results in **geometric means**, *not* arithmetic means. Unlike an arithmetic mean that is derived by summing individual values and dividing by the number of observations, a geometric mean is calculated by multiplying the individual values and taking the *n*th root of the product. Geometric means *are averages* and are the natural result when using the log transformation. Using hospitals as an example, a hospital's expected average was determined by averaging the expected post-surgical lengths of stay for each CABG patient. The expected average was then compared to the actual average (both are geometric averages) to determine whether the actual is significantly higher or lower than expected. Post-surgical length of stay outcomes for hospitals and surgeons were evaluated in the same way.

### Expected Length of Stay

Each category for each statistically significant clinical or demographic factor is assigned a weight or coefficient. Coefficients are listed in the table on the previous page. These coefficients are summed to compute each individual patient's expected length of stay given the risk factors of the patient. The coefficient for a category represents the estimated difference in mean (log) length of stay for this category versus the base category of that factor. Thus, the coefficient for the base category of a factor is always "0" (zero). When dealing with categorical variables in the length of stay model there is no particular importance to the order of these categories. The constant term in the model represents the predicted value for all categorical factors at the base level. The coefficients for the other levels within a factor represent adjustments to that "baseline." No adjustment is required at the base level for any factor because it is already accounted for in the constant. For example, a patient with diabetes (with complication) has a "0" or "baseline" coefficient; while a patient without diabetes would be adjusted *downward* by 0.061732234. (See table on previous page). The order is not important because each ordering scheme would result in different coefficients, but the estimated *difference* between any pairs of levels would be the same (i.e., the *difference* between no diabetes and diabetes (with complication) would always be  $-0.061732234$  independent of what the specific coefficients were for each). For quantitative factors (e.g., age, age-squared and CABG severity), there is always an adjustment since the "baseline" is 0.

### Risk-adjusted Length of Stay

Length of stay is reported in average days instead of a statistical rating. Unlike other measures (such as mortality where a lower number of deaths is obviously better than a higher number), it is not known whether shorter lengths of stay are "better" than longer lengths of stay or vice versa. Reporting the average length of stay in days, therefore, presents information that can be used to examine differences in lengths of stay without taking a position on what is "best".

## Calculations used in post-surgical length of stay analysis

**Total Cases:** Number of hospitalizations *after exclusions*

**Actual Mean LOS:** Geometric mean of the length of stay across all cases

Calculate geometric mean length of stay (GMLOS):

Step 1: Calculate the natural log (**In**) of GMLOS:

$$\ln(\text{GMLOS}) = (1/n)(\ln\text{LOS}_{\text{case 1}} + \ln\text{LOS}_{\text{case 2}} + \dots + \ln\text{LOS}_{\text{case n}})$$

Step 2: Convert **In**(GMLOS) to GMLOS (i.e., convert to days):

$$\text{GMLOS} = e^{\ln(\text{GMLOS})} \quad \text{where } e \approx 2.7182818285$$

**Expected Mean LOS:** Geometric mean of the *expected* length of stay for all cases

Calculate geometric mean of the *expected* length of stay (GMELOS):

Step 1: Calculate each patient's **EIn**LOS:

$E\ln\text{LOS} = (\text{constant}) + (\text{risk factor category coefficients relevant to each patient})$

Step 2: Calculate the **In**GMELOS:

$$\ln(\text{GMELOS}) = (1/n)(E\ln\text{LOS}_{\text{case 1}} + E\ln\text{LOS}_{\text{case 2}} + \dots + \ln\text{LOS}_{\text{case n}})$$

Step 3: Convert the **In**(GMELOS) to GMELOS (i.e., convert to days):

$$\text{GMELOS} = e^{\ln(\text{GMELOS})} \quad \text{where } e \approx 2.7182818285$$

Note: The following calculation can be used in determining a *patient's* expected length of stay; it is not necessary, however, in determining a hospital's geometric mean of the expected length of stay.

Calculate a patient's *expected* length of stay (**ELOS**):

Convert the **EIn**LOS to **ELOS** (i.e., convert to days):

$$\text{ELOS} = e^{(E\ln\text{LOS})} \quad \text{where } e \approx 2.7182818285$$

**Risk-adjusted Length of Stay:** Average length of stay / expected average length of stay x state average length of stay (5.8 days)

**In** = natural logarithm (base e)

## Hospital Charge Analysis

Trimmed and case-mix adjusted average charge was reported for hospitals only.

### Exclusions from Analysis

Exclusions from the charge analysis are outlined in Attachment A.

### Construction of Reference Database

The patients included in the charge analysis fall into five DRG groups. It is important to note that the study population was not identified by DRG; however, all patients are included in the five groups listed below.

- Group 1: DRG 106 – coronary bypass with PTCA
- Group 2: DRG 107 – coronary bypass with cardiac catheterization
- Group 3: DRG 108 – other cardiothoracic procedures
- Group 4: DRG 109 – coronary bypass without cardiac catheterization
- Group 5: DRG 514, 515 – cardiac defibrillator implant with/without cardiac catheterization

### Trim Methodology

Trimming methodology was used to remove outlier charge values from the study population. Identification of outliers is imperative for the elimination of extreme values that have a significant and unrepresentative impact on the mean (average).

The trimming (deleting) of individual records from the analysis was performed after all other exclusions were satisfied. If the charge on a particular record was less than the lower trim point or in excess of the upper trim point, that record was removed from the charge analyses.

For this analysis, upper and lower trim points were calculated using the “+/- 3.0 interquartile range” method. This non-parametric methodology is used because historically the distribution for charge data does not follow a “normal, bell-shaped” pattern.

Since charges vary dramatically among regions, upper and lower trim points were calculated for each of the five groups of patients at the regional level (The Council uses nine regional designations). For three of the groups (DRGs 106,108 and DRG 514 & 515), these nine regions were regrouped into larger areas because of the small numbers of cases in several regions.

Trim points were determined as follows:

**Q1** = the first quartile (25<sup>th</sup> percentile total charge) of all patient records from the comparative database in a particular category

**Q3** = the third quartile (75<sup>th</sup> percentile total charge) of all patient records from the comparative database in a particular category

**IQR** = Q3 – Q1

**Lower Trim Point** = Q1 – (3.0 x IQR)

**Upper Trim Point** = Q3 + (3.0 x IQR)

<b>Total Charges Trim Points</b>			
	<b>Upper Trim Point*</b>	<b>Median</b>	<b>Percentage Outliers</b>
DRG 106			
<i>Regions 1, 2, 3</i>	\$ 313,616	\$ 84,897	2.5 %
<i>Regions 4, 5, 6</i>	\$308,123	\$ 75,825	0.0%
<i>Regions 7, 8, 9</i>	\$523,390	\$ 105,770	1.8%
DRG 107			
<i>Region 1</i>	\$227,013	\$ 62,723	1.2%
<i>Region 2</i>	\$143,758	\$ 52,838	3.8%
<i>Region 3</i>	\$109,492	\$ 51,654	1.5%
<i>Region 4</i>	\$116,984	\$ 43,044	4.5%
<i>Region 5</i>	\$141,257	\$ 52,824	2.0%
<i>Region 6</i>	\$148,699	\$ 48,810	1.3%
<i>Region 7</i>	\$136,259	\$ 51,255	1.6%
<i>Region 8</i>	\$357,322	\$ 95,022	1.8%
<i>Region 9</i>	\$688,993	\$ 147,948	0.7%
DRG 108			
<i>Regions 1, 2, 3</i>	\$296,039	\$ 82,197	2.1%
<i>Regions 4, 5, 6</i>	\$128,847	\$ 40,770	5.1%
<i>Regions 7, 8, 9</i>	\$941,656	\$ 99,413	0.0%
DRG 109			
<i>Region 1</i>	\$217,886	\$ 58,346	0.9%
<i>Region 2</i>	\$ 84,396	\$ 36,857	6.2%
<i>Region 3</i>	\$ 89,727	\$ 40,494	1.5%
<i>Region 4</i>	\$ 75,311	\$ 30,646	3.5%
<i>Region 5</i>	\$ 99,043	\$ 38,967	2.0%
<i>Region 6</i>	\$106,275	\$ 40,089	1.0%
<i>Region 7</i>	\$ 92,020	\$ 39,721	3.0%
<i>Region 8</i>	\$284,891	\$ 64,527	1.5%
<i>Region 9</i>	\$512,821	\$ 105,069	1.1%
DRG 514, 515			
<i>Region 1, 2, 3</i>	\$477,898	\$173,995	1.5%
<i>Region 4, 5, 6</i>	\$297,736	\$133,043	2.4%
<i>Region 7, 8, 9</i>	\$1,158,136	\$267,651	1.0%

\* Charges of less than \$10,000 were considered invalid so no lower trim point is displayed.



### Case-Mix Adjustment of Average Charge

Using case-mix adjustment, a composite average charge was developed for each of the five groups of patients. The charges associated with each group are adjusted according to the number of patients and the relative cost associated with treating patients in each of the five groups.

First, regional relative weights for each of the five groups were determined. After all exclusions were satisfied and outlier trimming was performed, the relative weight for each of the five groups within each of the nine regions (or the three larger areas) was calculated using the formula:

$$\text{Relative Weight} = \frac{\text{Average Charge for each Group (either Group 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5)}}{\text{Average Charge for Groups 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (combined)}}$$

Next, each hospital's case-mix index was calculated.

$$\text{A Hospital's Case-mix Index} = \frac{\sum(n_i \times RW_i)}{\sum n_i}$$

where, for a hospital located in a particular region

$RW_i$  = the regional relative weights (corresponding to each of the five groups)

$n_i$  = the number of cases (corresponding to each of the five groups)

and  $\sum n_i$  = the total number of cases for the hospital (for all of the five groups)

Finally, for each hospital the trimmed and case-mix adjusted average charge is calculated.

$$\text{Trimmed and Adjusted Charge} = \frac{\text{Average Charge for the five Groups (combined)}}{\text{Case-Mix Index}}$$

<b>Average Total Charges (by DRG and Region) and Associated Relative Weights</b>		
	<b>Average Charge</b>	<b>Relative Weight</b>
DRG 106		
<i>Regions 1, 2, 3</i>	\$100,733	1.38661797
<i>Regions 4, 5, 6</i>	\$ 93,161	1.77417661
<i>Regions 7, 8, 9</i>	\$136,521	1.85387432
DRG 107		
<i>Region 1</i>	\$ 70,715	0.97341333
<i>Region 2</i>	\$ 60,446	1.16045658
<i>Region 3</i>	\$ 53,607	1.05218934
<i>Region 4</i>	\$ 47,105	0.89707654
<i>Region 5</i>	\$ 56,924	1.14191037
<i>Region 6</i>	\$ 53,200	1.05601200
<i>Region 7</i>	\$ 55,283	0.75071247
<i>Region 8</i>	\$110,450	1.07373393
<i>Region 9</i>	\$192,242	1.09894340
DRG 108		
<i>Regions 1, 2, 3</i>	\$ 89,865	1.23702175
<i>Regions 4, 5, 6</i>	\$ 43,450	0.82747774
<i>Regions 7, 8, 9</i>	\$172,972	2.34884444
DRG 109		
<i>Region 1</i>	\$ 64,738	0.89114206
<i>Region 2</i>	\$ 40,199	0.77175695
<i>Region 3</i>	\$ 43,039	0.84475659
<i>Region 4</i>	\$ 32,053	0.61041831
<i>Region 5</i>	\$ 41,749	0.83749533
<i>Region 6</i>	\$ 41,112	0.81607133
<i>Region 7</i>	\$ 43,126	0.58561902
<i>Region 8</i>	\$ 80,879	0.78626494
<i>Region 9</i>	\$140,290	0.80196191
DRG 514, 515		
<i>Region 1, 2, 3</i>	\$187,409	2.57974355
<i>Region 4, 5, 6</i>	\$134,166	2.55508082
<i>Region 7, 8, 9</i>	\$304,175	4.13050651

# **ATTACHMENT A**

## **Cases Included / Excluded**



**Exclusion Criteria**

Specific cases were excluded from the analysis. Standard exclusions were identified first for the in-hospital mortality analysis. Additional cases were then excluded from the analyses for the other measures in this report (30-day post-surgical mortality, 7-day readmissions, 30-day readmissions, post-surgical length of stay, and average hospital charge).

In-hospital mortality analysis	Statewide		
	Cases		Mortality
	#	%	%
Total cases <i>before</i> exclusions	17,393	100.0	2.4
Exclusions:			
Patients designated as “clinically complex” *	943	5.4	8.8
Patients who left against medical advice	8	<0.1	0.0
Patients under age 30	7	<0.1	0.0
Total exclusions	958	5.5	8.7
Total cases to be <i>included</i> in the analysis	16,435	94.5	2.0

\*Clinically complex cases are those *not* in DRG 106-109, DRG 483, or DRG 514-515, cases excluded during individual case review, and cases undergoing certain procedures during the same admission as defined by one of the following procedures:

Procedure	ICD-9-CM Codes
<i>lung volume reduction (performed at the same time as CABG)</i>	32.22
<i>operations on structures adjacent to heart valves</i>	35.31 - 35.35, 35.39
<i>creation of septal defect in heart</i>	35.42
<i>repair of atrial and ventricular septa</i>	35.50 - 35.54, 35.60 - 35.63, 35.70 - 35.73
<i>total repair of certain congenital cardiac anomalies</i>	35.81 - 35.84
<i>other operations on valves and septa of heart</i>	35.91 - 35.95, 35.98
<i>repair of aneurysm of coronary vessel</i>	36.91
<i>other operations on vessels of heart</i>	36.99
<i>excision of aneurysm of heart or other lesion of heart</i>	37.32, 37.33
<i>resection of abdominal aorta, thoracic vessel, abdominal arteries</i>	38.44 - 38.46
<i>clipping of aneurysm/other aneurysm repair</i>	39.51, 39.52
<i>diagnosis of constrictive pericarditis &amp; undergoing pericardiectomy</i>	423.2 in combination with 37.31
<i>carotid endarterectomy</i>	38.12

Note: See the “Study Population” section of this document for other exclusions.

**30-day post-surgical mortality analysis****Statewide**

	Cases		30 day post-surgical mortality
	#	%	%
Total cases before post-surgical mortality exclusions	16,435	100.0	–
Exclusions:			
Cases with invalid/inconsistent data*	57	0.3	–
Out-of-state residents**	1,548	9.4	–
Total cases excluded from 30-day post-surgical mortality analysis	1,605	9.8	–
Total cases included in 30-day post surgical mortality analysis	14,830	90.2	2.3

\*Prohibited linkage of cases with death certificate information.

\*\*Out-of-state residents were excluded because such patients could undergo CABG surgery in a Pennsylvania hospital, return to their home state and die there. We would have no death certificate data for these patients.

**7-day and 30-day Readmission analysis****Statewide**

	Cases		7-day Readmission	30-day Readmission
	#	%	%	%
Total cases <i>before</i> readmission exclusions	16,435	100.0	–	–
Exclusions:				
Patients who died during hospitalization where CABG was performed	327	2.0	–	–
Cases with invalid/inconsistent data*	63	0.4	–	–
Out-of-state residents**	1,506	9.2	–	–
Total cases <i>excluded</i> from readmission analysis	1,896	11.5	–	–
Total cases <i>included</i> in readmission analysis	14,539	88.5	5.5	13.1

\*Prohibited linkage of cases to other subsequent hospital admissions

\*\*Out-of-state residents were excluded because such patients could under CABG surgery in a Pennsylvania hospital and be readmitted to an out-of-state hospital. We would have no readmission information for these patients.

NOTE: A readmission was counted as such if the patient was hospitalized between 1 and 7 days or between 1 and 30 days after being discharged from the hospital where the CABG surgery was performed.

**Post-surgical length of stay analysis**

	<b>Statewide</b>		
	<b>Cases</b>		<b>Average Post-surgical LOS</b>
	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>days</b>
Total cases <i>before</i> post-surgical LOS exclusions	16,435	100.0	7.1
Exclusions:			
Patients who died	327	2.0	13.2
Patients with post-surgical LOS > 30 days	177	1.1	49.3
Patients with post-surgical LOS same day or one day	11	0.1	0.9
<b>Total exclusions from post-surgical LOS analysis</b>	<b>515</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>25.4</b>
<b>Total cases <i>included</i> in post-surgical LOS analysis</b>	<b>15,920</b>	<b>96.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>

**Charge analysis**

	<b>Statewide</b>		
	<b>Cases</b>		<b>Avg. Total Charge</b>
	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>\$</b>
Total cases <i>before</i> charge exclusions	16,435	100.0	\$89,622
Exclusions:			
Patients with invalid/missing charges*	28	0.2	---
Tracheostomy cases (DRG 483)	236	1.4	\$452,062
Charge outliers**	287	1.7	\$278,208
<b>Total cases <i>excluded</i> from charge analysis</b>	<b>551</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>---</b>
<b>Total cases <i>included</i> in charge analysis</b>	<b>15,884</b>	<b>96.6</b>	<b>\$80,984</b>

\* Invalid/missing charges including cases with no charges or charges were less than \$10,000.

\*\*Charge outliers were determined using the same “± 3.0 interquartile range” method used for other Council reports – after accounting for differences in charges by group and by region.





# **ATTACHMENT B**

## **Readmission Categories**



## Definition - Readmissions

Readmissions were counted only if the patient was readmitted for particular reasons (as indicated by a principal diagnosis of the patient during the readmission; examples include infections, other heart-related conditions, complications from the surgery, etc). The list follows:

Diagnosis	ICD.9.CM Code	7-Days N = 806 (5.5%)		30-Days N = 1,903 (13.1%)	
		#	%	#	%
<b>Cardiac Diagnoses</b>					
<b>Cardiac dysrhythmias post cardiac surgery</b>					
conduction disorders (i.e., av block) .....	426.xx	2	0.2	9	0.5
paroxysmal tachycardias.....	427.0, 427.1, 427.2	9	1.1	21	1.1
atrial fibrillation/flutter .....	427.31, 427.32	39	4.8	96	5.0
ventricular fibrillation/flutter .....	427.41, 427.42	0	–	2	0.1
premature beats .....	427.60, 427.61, 427.69	1	0.1	2	0.1
other rhythm disorders (i.e., ectopic, nodal).....	427.81, 427.89	10	1.2	22	1.2
miscellaneous dysrhythmias .....	427.5, 427.9	0	–	0	–
<b>Heart Failure</b>					
rheumatic heart failure .....	398.91	0	–	1	0.1
benign hypertensive heart disease with CHF .....	402.11	0	–	0	–
malignant hypertensive heart & renal disease with CHF .....	404.03	0	–	0	–
unspecified hypertensive heart disease with CHF .....	402.91	2	0.2	5	0.3
unspecified hypertensive heart & renal disease with CHF .....	404.91	0	–	0	–
unspecified hypertensive heart & renal disease with CHF & renal failure .....	404.93	1	0.1	2	0.1
congestive heart failure .....	428.xx	105	13.0	237	12.5
functional disturbances following cardiac surgery .....	429.4	34	4.2	87	4.6
cardiogenic shock.....	785.51	0	–	0	–
<b>Coronary atherosclerosis / myocardial ischemia and infarction</b>					
AMI .....	410.x1	28	3.5	72	3.8
postmyocardial infarction syndrome .....	411.0	5	0.6	17	0.9
intermediate coronary syndrome (unstable angina) .....	411.1	0	–	1	0.1
coronary occlusion without MI.....	411.81	0	–	1	0.1
acute ischemic heart disease.....	411.89	1	0.1	1	0.1
angina pectoris.....	413.x	0	–	1	0.1
coronary atherosclerosis .....	414.0x	16	2.0	56	2.9
aneurysm of the heart.....	414.10, 414.11, 414.19	0	–	0	–
other forms of chronic ischemic heart disease .....	414.8, 414.9	0	–	0	–
<b>Hypertension / hypotension / syncope / dizziness</b> .401.x, 458.x, 780.2, 780.4					
<b>Artery and vein disease / embolism / thrombosis</b>					
atherosclerosis of artery, extremity .....	440.xx	2	0.2	10	0.5
arterial embolism and thrombosis .....	444.xx	3	0.4	3	0.2
phlebitis and thrombophlebitis.....	451.x	1	0.1	3	0.2

\*NEC: not elsewhere classified

\*\*NOS: not otherwise specified

An "x" indicates an additional digit is required. For example 458.x - "x" indicates a fourth digit is required; 788.2x - "x" indicates a fifth digit is required; 410.xx - "xx" indicates both a fourth and fifth digit is required.

Diagnosis	ICD.9.CM Code	7-Days N = 806 (5.5%)		30-Days N = 1,903 (13.1%)	
		#	%	#	%
peripheral vascular complications .....	997.2	13	1.6	19	1.0
vascular complications-vessel NEC* .....	997.79	0	–	1	0.1
vascular complications med care NEC* .....	999.2	0	–	1	0.1
<b>Other forms of heart disease</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0.9</b>
acute pericarditis .....	420.xx	0	–	6	0.3
acute myocarditis.....	422.00, 422.91, 422.92	0	–	0	–
other diseases of pericardium (hemopericardium, restrictive).....	423.x	3	0.4	11	0.6
tricuspid valve disease .....	397.0	1	0.1	1	0.1
<b>Neurologic Diagnoses</b>					
<b>Stroke / transient cerebral ischemia</b>		<b>34</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>3.3</b>
anoxic brain damage .....	348.1, 997.01	0	–	0	–
retinal/visual disorders.....	362.30 - 362.34, 368.12, 368.40	1	0.1	2	0.1
intracerebral hemorrhage.....	431	1	0.1	1	0.1
occlusion and stenosis of precerebral arteries.....	433.xx	3	0.4	9	0.5
cerebral artery thrombosis .....	434.xx	14	1.7	25	1.3
transient cerebral ischemia.....	435.x	11	1.4	20	1.1
acute, but ill-defined cerebrovascular disease (CVA) .....	436	1	0.1	2	0.1
iatrogenic cerebrovascular infarction or hemorrhage.....	997.02	3	0.4	4	0.2
<b>Respiratory Diagnoses</b>					
<b>Pleurisy</b>		<b>31</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>4.7</b>
pleurisy .....	511.0	0	–	0	–
pleural effusion / atelectasis .....	511.9, 518.0	24	3.0	73	3.8
hemothorax / hemopneumothorax .....	511.8	3	0.4	11	0.6
pneumothorax .....	512.x	4	0.5	6	0.3
<b>Pulmonary edema / insufficiency</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0.9</b>
acute pulmonary edema .....	518.4	1	0.1	1	0.1
pulmonary insufficiency post trauma or surgery .....	518.5	2	0.2	2	0.1
acute respiratory failure .....	518.81	6	0.7	13	0.7
other pulmonary insufficiency (i.e. acute respiratory distress) .....	518.82	1	0.1	1	0.1
<b>Respiratory and other chest symptoms</b>		<b>45</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>5.4</b>
Tietze's disease (i.e. costochondritis).....	733.6	0	–	2	0.1
respiratory and other chest symptoms (i.e. shortness of breath, chest pain) .....	786.x	42	5.2	96	5.0
mediastinitis.....	519.2	0	–	1	0.1
trachea/bronchus disease NEC* (ulcer in trachea) .....	519.1	2	0.2	2	0.1
tracheostomy complications .....	519.09	1	0.1	1	0.1
<b>Pulmonary embolism / infarction</b> .....	415.xx	<b>35</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>4.0</b>
<b>Aspiration pneumonia</b> .....	507.0, 997.3	<b>48</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>4.3</b>

\*NEC: not elsewhere classified

\*\*NOS: not otherwise specified

An "x" indicates an additional digit is required. For example 458.x - "x" indicates a fourth digit is required; 788.2x - "x" indicates a fifth digit is required; 410.xx - "xx" indicates both a fourth and fifth digit is required.

Diagnosis	ICD.9.CM Code	7-Days N = 806 (5.5%)		30-Days N = 1,903 (13.1%)	
		#	%	#	%
<b>Other Diagnoses</b>					
<b>Infections</b>					
intestinal infection due to clostridium difficile .....	008.45	1	0.1	8	0.4
septicemia .....	038.xx	9	1.1	22	1.2
bacteremia.....	790.7	0	–	0	–
acute/subacute bacterial endocarditis .....	421.0, 421.9	0	–	1	0.1
bronchitis .....	466.0, 490	4	0.5	8	0.4
pneumonia.....	481, 482.xx, 485, 486	39	4.8	83	4.4
empyema.....	510.0, 510.9	0	–	0	–
urinary tract infection.....	599.0	6	0.7	16	0.8
cellulitis .....	681.10, 682.x	2	0.2	14	0.7
fever.....	780.6	5	0.6	9	0.5
infection and inflammatory reaction due to heart device.....	996.61	1	0.1	5	0.3
infected post-surgical seroma.....	998.51	1	0.1	4	0.2
infection and inflammatory reaction due to vascular device .....	996.62	3	0.4	6	0.3
infection and inflammatory reaction due to other device.....	996.69	1	0.1	2	0.1
non-healing surgical wound.....	998.83	0	–	4	0.2
other post-surgical infection.....	998.59	109	13.5	285	15.0
infection complication med care NEC* .....	999.3	1	0.1	1	0.1
<b>Device, Implant, or Graft Complications</b>					
mechanical complication of cardiac device, implant, graft.....	996.0x	2	0.2	3	0.2
other complication of cardiac device, implant, graft .....	996.71, 996.72, 996.74	3	0.4	9	0.5
<b>GI hemorrhage / complications</b>					
esophageal hemorrhage.....	530.82	1	0.1	1	0.1
acute gastric ulcer.....	531.00, 531.01, 531.20, 531.21	2	0.2	3	0.2
chronic/unspecified gastric ulcer .....	531.40, 531.41, 531.60, 531.61	0	–	2	0.1
acute duodenal ulcer.....	532.00, 532.01, 532.20, 532.21	1	0.1	3	0.2
chronic/unspecified duodenal ulcer.....	532.40, 532.41, 532.60, 532.61	6	0.7	17	0.9
acute peptic ulcer .....	533.00, 533.01, 533.20, 533.21	0	–	1	0.1
chronic/unspecified peptic ulcer .....	533.40, 533.41, 533.60, 533.61	0	–	0	–
acute gastritis with mention of hemorrhage .....	535.01	0	–	0	–
other specified gastritis with hemorrhage .....	535.41	0	–	0	–
vascular insufficiency of intestine (bowel infarction, ischemic colitis).....	557.0, 557.9	2	0.2	5	0.3
intestinal obstruction without hernia .....	560.1, 560.39, 560.81, 560.89, 560.9	5	0.6	10	0.5
hemorrhage of rectum and anus .....	569.3	2	0.2	2	0.1
hematemesis .....	578.0	1	0.1	1	0.1
blood in stool.....	578.1	1	0.1	4	0.2
hemorrhage of gastrointestinal tract, NOS** .....	578.9	2	0.2	6	0.3
digestive system complications due to procedure .....	997.4	1	0.1	2	0.1

\*NEC: not elsewhere classified

\*\*NOS: not otherwise specified

An "x" indicates an additional digit is required. For example 458.x - "x" indicates a fourth digit is required; 788.2x - "x" indicates a fifth digit is required; 410.xx - "xx" indicates both a fourth and fifth digit is required.

<b>Diagnosis</b>	<b>ICD.9.CM Code</b>	<b>7-Days</b>		<b>30-Days</b>	
		<b>N = 806</b>	<b>N = 1,903</b>	<b>(5.5%)</b>	<b>(13.1%)</b>
		<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>#</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Genitourinary complications</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0.8</b>
acute renal failure .....	584.x	3	0.4	13	0.7
urinary retention .....	788.2x	0	–	0	–
hematuria.....	599.7	0	–	1	0.1
urinary complications due to procedure.....	997.5	1	0.1	1	0.1
<b>Anemia / thrombocytopenia</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.5</b>
iron deficiency anemias .....	280.x	0	–	2	0.1
acquired hemolytic anemias .....	283.x	0	–	0	–
other and unspecified anemias (i.e. post hemorrhagic anemia) .....	285.xx	1	0.1	4	0.2
purpura and other hemorrhagic conditions (i.e. thrombocytopenia) .....	287.x	1	0.1	2	0.1
hemorrhage, NOS** (i.e. rupture of blood vessel) .....	459.0	0	–	1	0.1
hemoperitoneum (i.e. resulting from pseudoaneurysm due to IABP) .....	568.81	0	–	0	–
<b>Fluid and electrolyte imbalance</b> .....	276.x	<b>18</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2.2</b>
<b>Other surgical complications</b>		<b>68</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>6.4</b>
disturbance of skin sensation (i.e. paresthesia, hyperesthesia).....	782.0	0	–	0	–
cardiac complications resulting from procedure .....	997.1	41	5.1	72	3.8
hemorrhage or hematoma complicating a procedure .....	998.1x	8	1.0	18	0.9
dehiscence or rupture of operation wound.....	998.3x	15	1.9	24	1.3
foreign body left during procedure resulting in obstruction, perforation.....	998.4	0	–	0	–
other procedure complications NEC* .....	998.89	3	0.4	6	0.3
surgical complications NOS** .....	998.9	1	0.1	1	0.1

\*NEC: not elsewhere classified

\*\*NOS: not otherwise specified

An "x" indicates an additional digit is required. For example 458.x - "x" indicates a fourth digit is required; 788.2x - "x" indicates a fifth digit is required; 410.xx - "xx" indicates both a fourth and fifth digit is required.

# **ATTACHMENT C**

## **Candidate Variables**





**ICD-9-CM Codes Used to Identify Mortality and Readmission Variables****Variable**

ICD-9-CM Codes

**Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)**

410.x1

**Cancer**

140.0 - 208.9, 230.0 - 239.9

**Cardiomyopathy**

425.3, 425.4, 425.8, 425.9

**Complicated Hypertension**

402.x1, 403.x1, 404.x1, 404.x2, 404.x3, 405.xx

**COPD**

491.20, 491.21, 492.0, 492.8, 496, 506.4, 518.2

**Diabetes**

without complication – 250.0x

with complication – 250.1x - 250.9x

**Dialysis**

39.95, 54.98, V45.1, V56.0, V56.8

**Heart Failure**

398.91, 428.0 - 428.9

*For those cases having one of the above heart failure codes and a hypertension with congestive heart failure code (402.x1, 404.x1, 404.x3) in the same record, only the hypertension code was used.*

**Obesity**

unspecified obesity – 278.00

morbid obesity – 278.01

**Peripheral Vascular Disease**

443.0, 443.1, 443.81, 443.89, 443.9

**Prior CABG and/or Valve Surgery**

V42.2, V43.3, V45.81, 414.02 - 414.06, 996.02, 996.03

**PTCA/Stent (same day as CABG)**

36.01, 36.02, 36.05, 36.06, 36.07, 36.09

**Renal Failure**

chronic – 585

acute – 584.x1 and before surgery – using clinical information in medical record

*An 'x' indicates an additional digit is required. For example 410.x1- "x" indicates a fourth digit is required; 250.0x -"x" indicates a fifth digit is required; 405.xx – "xx" indicates both a fourth and fifth digit is required.*

**Mortality — Candidate Variable Frequency and Percent Mortality**

Variable and ICD.9.CM Codes	In-hospital Mortality		30-day Mortality	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	16,435	2.0%	14,830	2.3%
<b>Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)</b>				
no .....	12,499	1.7%	11,309	2.0%
yes (initial episode as principal diagnosis) .....	3,936	2.9%	3,521	3.4%
<b>CABG Severity</b> (tested as probability of death – a continuous variable)				
0.000 – 0.001 .....	0	–	0	–
0.002 – 0.011 .....	5,742	0.5%	5,183	0.8%
0.012 – 0.057 .....	9,291	1.9%	8,381	2.3%
0.058 – 0.499 .....	1,401	8.3%	1,266	8.8%
0.500 – 1.000 .....	1	100%	0	–
<b>Age &amp; Age-Squared</b> (tested as continuous variables)				
30-39 years .....	123	0.8%	110	0.9%
40-49 years .....	1,031	0.8%	927	1.1%
50-59 years .....	3,379	1.0%	3,037	1.2%
60-69 years .....	4,895	1.2%	4,409	1.5%
70-79 years .....	5,503	3.0%	4,971	3.3%
80-89 years .....	1,482	4.1%	1,355	4.9%
90-99 years .....	22	4.5%	21	4.8%
Average age: 66.2 (males 65.1; females 68.6)				
<b>Cancer</b>				
no .....	16,072	2.0%	14,499	2.3%
yes .....	363	3.0%	331	5.1%
<b>Cardiogenic Shock</b>				
no .....	16,331	1.8%	14,741	2.2%
yes (before surgery—using clinical info. in the medical record) .....	104	28.8%	89	32.6%
<b>Cardiomyopathy</b>				
no .....	16,024	1.9%	14,479	2.3%
yes .....	411	4.6%	351	4.6%
<b>Complicated Hypertension</b>				
no .....	15,820	1.8%	14,271	2.2%
yes .....	615	7.6%	559	7.3%
<b>COPD</b>				
no .....	13,656	1.8%	12,315	2.1%
yes .....	2,779	3.1%	2,515	3.8%
<b>Diabetes</b>				
no .....	10,711	2.1%	9,665	2.4%
diabetes without complication .....	4,714	1.8%	4,258	2.2%
diabetes with complication .....	1,010	2.2%	907	2.5%
<b>Dialysis</b>				
no .....	16,180	1.7%	14,603	2.1%
yes .....	255	21.2%	227	17.2%

**Mortality — Candidate Variable Frequency and Percent Mortality**

Variable and ICD.9.CM Codes	In-hospital Mortality		30-day Mortality	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	16,435	2.0%	14,830	2.3%
<b>Gender</b>				
male .....	11,413	1.7%	10,272	2.0%
female .....	5,022	2.7%	4,558	3.1%
<b>Heart Failure</b>				
no .....	13,575	1.3%	12,324	1.8%
yes .....	2,860	5.1%	2,506	5.1%
<b>Obesity</b>				
no .....	14,491	2.1%	13,094	2.4%
unspecified obesity .....	1,440	0.9%	1,277	1.6%
morbid obesity .....	504	1.4%	459	2.2%
<b>Peripheral Vascular Disease</b>				
no .....	15,194	2.0%	13,676	2.3%
yes .....	1,241	1.8%	1,154	2.4%
<b>Prior CABG and/or Valve Surgery</b>				
no .....	15,638	1.9%	14,124	2.3%
yes .....	797	3.4%	706	3.7%
<b>PTCA/Stent (same day as CABG)</b>				
no .....	16,290	1.9%	14,697	2.3%
yes .....	145	9.0%	133	10.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>				
Hispanic .....	255	1.2%	237	0.8%
white/non-Hispanic .....	14,673	1.9%	13,376	2.3%
black/non-Hispanic .....	575	3.5%	531	3.4%
other/unknown .....	932	2.7%	686	2.8%
<b>Renal Failure</b>				
no .....	16,252	1.9%	14,661	2.3%
chronic .....	105	4.8%	100	4.0%
acute .....	78	11.5%	69	11.6%

**Readmissions - Candidate Variable Frequency and Percent Readmission**

Variable and ICD.9.CM Codes	7-day Readmission		30-day Readmission	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	14,539	5.5%	14,539	13.1%
<b>Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)</b>				
no .....	11,117	5.5%	11,117	12.7%
yes (initial episode as principal diagnosis) .....	3,422	5.8%	3,422	14.2%
<b>CABG Severity</b> (tested as probability of death – a continuous variable)				
0.000 – 0.001 .....	0	–	0	–
0.002 – 0.011 .....	5,155	4.3%	5,155	9.7%
0.012 – 0.057 .....	8,225	6.0%	8,225	14.0%
0.058 – 0.499 .....	1,159	7.7%	1,159	21.4%
0.500 – 1.000 .....	0	–	0	–
<b>Predicted LOS</b>				
<2.327 days.....	337	3.6%	337	11.0%
2.327 – 3.463 days.....	2,066	3.8%	2,066	9.8%
3.464 – 6.494 days.....	9,988	5.7%	9,988	13.2%
6.495 – 8.723 days.....	1,892	6.8%	1,892	16.4%
> 8.723 days.....	256	5.5%	256	15.2%
<b>Age &amp; Age-Squared</b> (tested as continuous variables)				
30-39 years .....	109	3.7%	109	15.6%
40-49 years .....	920	4.2%	920	10.5%
50-59 years .....	3,007	4.7%	3,007	11.8%
60-69 years .....	4,354	5.5%	4,354	11.9%
70-79 years .....	4,832	5.8%	4,832	13.9%
80-89 years .....	1,297	7.8%	1,297	18.8%
90-99 years .....	20	5.0%	20	10.0%
Average age: 66.1 (males 65.0; females 68.6)				
<b>Cancer</b>				
no .....	14,218	5.5%	14,218	13.1%
yes .....	321	5.3%	321	13.7%
<b>Cardiogenic Shock</b>				
no .....	14,476	5.5%	14,476	13.1%
yes (before surgery—using clinical info. in the medical record) .....	63	4.8%	63	15.9%
<b>Cardiomyopathy</b>				
no .....	14,205	5.6%	14,205	13.0%
yes .....	334	5.1%	334	15.3%
<b>Complicated Hypertension</b>				
no .....	14,023	5.5%	14,023	12.8%
yes .....	516	6.6%	516	20.3%
<b>COPD</b>				
no .....	12,103	5.3%	12,103	12.4%
yes .....	2,436	7.0%	2,436	16.4%

## Readmissions - Candidate Variable Frequency and Percent Readmission

Variable and ICD.9.CM Codes	7-day Readmission		30-day Readmission	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
	14,539	5.5%	14,539	13.1%
<b>Diabetes</b>				
no .....	9,468	4.9%	9,468	11.6%
diabetes without complication .....	4,184	6.4%	4,184	15.2%
diabetes with complication .....	887	8.0%	887	19.2%
<b>Dialysis</b>				
no .....	14,358	5.5%	14,358	13.0%
yes .....	181	9.4%	181	21.5%
<b>Gender</b>				
male .....	10,101	4.9%	10,101	11.6%
female .....	4,438	6.9%	4,438	16.6%
<b>Heart Failure</b>				
no .....	12,158	5.1%	12,158	11.9%
yes .....	2,381	8.0%	2,381	19.3%
<b>Obesity</b>				
no .....	12,820	5.5%	12,820	13.0%
unspecified obesity .....	1,266	5.6%	1,266	11.5%
morbid obesity .....	453	6.8%	453	19.4%
<b>Peripheral Vascular Disease</b>				
no .....	13,405	5.4%	13,405	12.8%
yes .....	1,134	7.6%	1,134	16.8%
<b>Prior CABG and/or Valve Surgery</b>				
no .....	13,861	5.5%	13,861	13.0%
yes .....	678	5.6%	678	14.0%
<b>PTCA/Stent (same day as CABG)</b>				
no .....	14,418	5.5%	14,418	13.1%
yes .....	121	9.1%	121	16.5%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>				
Hispanic .....	235	6.0%	235	13.2%
white/non-Hispanic .....	13,124	5.4%	13,124	12.9%
black/non-Hispanic .....	512	8.6%	512	18.2%
other/unknown .....	668	4.9%	668	12.7%
<b>Renal Failure</b>				
no .....	14,383	5.5%	14,383	13.0%
chronic .....	95	9.5%	95	20.0%
acute .....	61	6.6%	61	16.4%

**Post-surgical Length of Stay - Candidate Variable Frequency and Average Length of Stay**

Variable and ICD.9.CM Codes	Number of Cases (statewide)	Post-surgical Length of Stay (Arithmetic average)
<i>total</i>	15,920	6.5%
<b>Acute Myocardial Infarction (AMI)</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	12,179	6.3%
<i>yes (initial episode as principal diagnosis)</i> .....	3,741	7.2%
<b>CABG Severity</b> <i>(tested as probability of death – a continuous variable)</i>		
<i>0.000 – 0.001</i> .....	0	–
<i>0.002 – 0.011</i> .....	5,685	5.3%
<i>0.012 – 0.057</i> .....	9,004	6.9%
<i>0.058 – 0.499</i> .....	1,231	9.0%
<i>0.500 – 1.000</i> .....	0	–
<b>Predicted LOS</b>		
<i>&lt;2.327 days</i> .....	377	5.2%
<i>2.327 – 3.463 days</i> .....	2,273	5.5%
<i>3.464 – 6.494 days</i> .....	10,992	6.4%
<i>6.495 – 8.723 days</i> .....	2,017	7.6%
<i>&gt; 8.723 days</i> .....	261	10.7%
<b>Age &amp; Age-Squared</b> <i>(tested as continuous variables)</i>		
<i>30-39 years</i> .....	121	5.2%
<i>40-49 years</i> .....	1,012	5.3%
<i>50-59 years</i> .....	3,321	5.6%
<i>60-69 years</i> .....	4,789	6.2%
<i>70-79 years</i> .....	5,260	7.2%
<i>80-89 years</i> .....	1,396	7.8%
<i>90-99 years</i> .....	21	6.9%
<i>Average age: 66.1 (males 65.0; females 68.5)</i>		
<b>Cancer</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,573	6.5%
<i>yes</i> .....	347	7.1%
<b>Cardiogenic Shock</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,854	6.5%
<i>yes (before surgery—using clinical info. in the medical record).</i>	66	12.0%
<b>Cardiomyopathy</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,532	6.5%
<i>yes</i> .....	388	7.7%
<b>Complicated Hypertension</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,377	6.4%
<i>yes</i> .....	543	8.9%
<b>COPD</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	13,278	6.3%
<i>yes</i> .....	2,642	7.5%

## Post-surgical Length of Stay - Candidate Variable Frequency and Average Length of Stay

Variable and ICD.9.CM Codes	Number of Cases (statewide)	Post-surgical Length of Stay (Arithmetic average)
<i>total</i>	15,920	6.5%
<b>Diabetes</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	10,373	6.4%
<i>diabetes without complication</i> .....	4,579	6.5%
<i>diabetes with complication</i> .....	968	7.8%
<b>Dialysis</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,742	6.4%
<i>yes</i> .....	178	10.0%
<b>Gender</b>		
<i>male</i> .....	11,098	6.3%
<i>female</i> .....	4,822	7.0%
<b>Heart Failure</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	13,300	6.1%
<i>yes</i> .....	2,620	8.6%
<b>Obesity</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	14,009	6.5%
<i>unspecified obesity</i> .....	1,423	6.0%
<i>morbid obesity</i> .....	488	6.9%
<b>Peripheral Vascular Disease</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	14,715	6.4%
<i>yes</i> .....	1,205	7.0%
<b>Prior CABG and/or Valve Surgery</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,157	6.5%
<i>yes</i> .....	763	7.1%
<b>PTCA/Stent (same day as CABG)</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,797	6.5%
<i>yes</i> .....	123	7.8%
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>		
<i>Hispanic</i> .....	249	6.7%
<i>white/non-Hispanic</i> .....	14,230	6.4%
<i>black/non-Hispanic</i> .....	547	7.0%
<i>other/unknown</i> .....	894	7.1%
<b>Renal Failure</b>		
<i>no</i> .....	15,763	6.5%
<i>chronic</i> .....	92	8.3%
<i>acute</i> .....	65	10.5%





## **ATTACHMENT D**

**MediQual® Atlas Outcomes™  
CABG Severity  
Predicted Length of Stay**



## Atlas Outcomes™ Approach for Risk Adjustment

Hospitals are required to use the MediQual® Atlas Outcomes™ System to abstract patient severity information, which is an objective severity of illness grouping, and risk-adjustment system that classifies each patient's risk on admission using data known as Key Clinical Findings (KCFs). It represents a summarization of patient risk based on clinical data found in the medical record. The information used covers the first two days of the hospital stay. This system represents a summarization of patient risk/severity that includes the patient's predicted probability of death (MQPredDeath) and predicted length of stay (MQPredLOS). The MQPredDeath is derived from a logistic regression model and has a value from 0.000 to 1.000. The MQPredLOS is derived from a linear regression model and has no bounds.

The *Atlas Outcomes™* system is based on the examination of numerous Key Clinical Findings (KCFs) such as lab tests, EKG readings, vital signs, the patient's medical history, imaging results, pathology, age, sex, and operative/endoscopy findings. Hospital personnel abstract these KCFs during specified timeframes in the hospitalization. Some pre-admission data are also captured (e.g., cardiac catheterization findings) as are some history findings. The KCF results are entered into algorithms that calculate the overall predicted probability of death or the predicted length of stay.

For this project, MediQual, in consultation with their Clinical Advisory Panel, designed a mortality model focusing specifically on the CABG population. This model has many similarities to other disease group models used to calculate Admission Severity Groups (ASGs) in the Atlas system, though some differences were introduced to account for the unique characteristics of this population.

Like other MediQual clinical models, the CABG model uses Key Clinical Findings (KCFs), history findings, and information from the Uniform Hospital Discharge Data Set to predict a probability of in-hospital mortality. Normally, KCFs would be included in the predictions if they were collected on the first or second day; but for this model, KCFs collected on the second day for patients receiving CABG on the first day were not included. Furthermore, new variables were defined from other Atlas data specifically for use in this model, as suggested and defined by their Clinical Advisory Panel.

The results of this model were predicted probabilities of in-hospital mortality for each of the reported patients receiving CABG in 2002. PHC4 used the probabilities of in-hospital mortality, along with other patient risk factors, to risk-adjust the hospital- and physician-specific outcomes printed in the 2002 CABG Report.